www.historymarg.com

International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI)
ISSN (Online): 2319 – 7722, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 7714
www.ijhssi.org ||Volume 13 Issue 11 || November 2024 || PP. 77-83

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's Critique of Hinduism and the Caste System: A Struggle for Social Justice and Equality

G. Sravanthi
PhD Research Scholar
Dept of Philosophy, Osmania University.

Dr Ch. Vamseedhar
Head Department of Philosophy
Osmania University

Abstract
Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's critique of Hinduism and the caste system was a radical challenge to India's deeply entrenched social hierarchies. He argued that Hinduism, through its scriptures and traditions, institutionalized caste oppression, making reform impossible from within. Unlike Mahatma Gandhi, who sought caste reform while preserving Hinduism, Ambedkar called for its complete abolition and promoted Buddhism as an alternative. His efforts led to significant legal and constitutional measures, including Article 17, which abolished untouchability, and the reservation system, which provided marginalized communities with opportunities for education and employment. Ambedkar's legacy continues to shape Dalit movements, social justice initiatives, and India's political landscape. His emphasis on education, political representation, and social equality remains relevant in contemporary struggles against caste discrimination. While legal protections exist, caste-based violence and exclusion persist, requiring stronger enforcement and continued advocacy. Ambedkarite Buddhism, inspired by his 1956 conversion, continues to empower Dalits by offering a spiritual and social framework that rejects caste. His vision of liberty, equality, and fraternity remains a guiding force for a more just society. The fight for caste abolition is ongoing, and Ambedkar's ideas continue to inspire movements for true social and economic equality.
Keywords: *Ambedkar, caste system, social justice, Buddhism, Dalit empowerment*

I. Introduction

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar was one of India's foremost social reformers, intellectuals, and political leaders, whose life and work were dedicated to the eradication of caste-based discrimination and the upliftment of marginalized communities. Born into a Dalit family in 1891, Ambedkar experienced firsthand the oppressive realities of the caste system, which denied millions of people basic human rights and dignity. Despite these challenges, he pursued higher education, earning multiple degrees, including a doctorate from Columbia University and the London School of Economics. His deep understanding of social structures, law, and philosophy shaped his lifelong mission to challenge Hindu orthodoxy and dismantle the deeply entrenched caste system. As the principal architect of the Indian Constitution, Ambedkar played a critical role in framing laws that aimed to promote social justice and equality, particularly for historically disadvantaged groups. However, his critique of Hinduism and its caste-based hierarchy remains one of his most radical and influential contributions to Indian society.

This study aims to examine Ambedkar's critique of Hinduism and the caste system, highlighting his arguments against the religious and social structures that perpetuate inequality. Unlike other reformers of his time, Ambedkar did not merely seek to reform Hinduism; rather, he exposed its fundamental flaws and argued that caste discrimination was an intrinsic feature of the religion itself. His analysis was not just theoretical but deeply rooted in historical, legal, and sociopolitical contexts. By delving into his writings, speeches, and political activism, this research seeks to explore how Ambedkar deconstructed the ideological foundations of caste oppression and proposed alternative frameworks for social justice. His eventual conversion to Buddhism in 1956, along with hundreds of thousands of his followers, was not just a personal spiritual decision but a political act of defiance against caste-based Hinduism. This study, therefore, aims to highlight the significance of Ambedkar's critique and its continuing relevance in contemporary India, where caste discrimination still persists despite legal protections.

The research is driven by key questions: How did Ambedkar critique Hinduism's role in perpetuating the caste system? What were the philosophical and historical foundations of his arguments? How did his ideas differ from other social reformers like Mahatma Gandhi? What impact did his critique have on Indian society, both during his lifetime and in the present day? To address these questions, this study will analyze Ambedkar's key texts, including *Annihilation of Caste*, *The Buddha and His Dhamma*, and *Riddles in Hinduism*, alongside secondary literature on his contributions. The objective is to provide a comprehensive understanding of

www.historymarg.com

Ambedkar's ideological position, his vision for an egalitarian society, and the practical measures he advocated to achieve it.

In contemporary India, Ambedkar's critique remains a powerful tool for Dalit movements, legal reforms, and academic discourse on social justice. By revisiting his arguments, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing discussions on caste, religion, and equality, emphasizing the enduring significance of his work. Understanding Ambedkar's critique is not merely an academic exercise but a necessary step toward achieving a more just and inclusive society.

Historical Context of the Caste System

The caste system in India is one of the most rigid and long-standing social hierarchies in human history. Its origins can be traced back to the Vedic period, around 1500 BCE, when the early Aryan settlers introduced a social classification based on occupation and ritual purity. The Rigveda, one of the oldest Hindu scriptures, contains the *Purusha Sukta* hymn, which describes the division of society into four varnas: the Brahmins (priests and scholars), Kshatriyas (warriors and rulers), Vaishyas (traders and merchants), and Shudras (laborers and service providers). This classification was initially fluid, but over time, it became rigid and hereditary, giving rise to the caste system as it is known today. Beneath the four varnas, a fifth group—later identified as Dalits or "Untouchables"—was completely excluded from the social order and subjected to extreme discrimination. The system not only determined one's profession but also restricted social interactions, marriages, and access to education and religious practices.

Religious texts played a significant role in justifying and sustaining the caste system. The *Manusmriti*, one of the most influential Hindu legal texts, codified the caste hierarchy and prescribed strict social rules for each group. It emphasized the superiority of Brahmins, granting them the highest privileges, while justifying the subjugation of lower castes, particularly the Shudras and Dalits. The text also endorsed practices such as endogamy (marrying within one's caste), occupational inheritance, and the concept of karma, which suggested that one's social position was a result of deeds from past lives. This religious doctrine discouraged resistance to caste oppression by portraying it as divinely ordained and unchangeable. The *Bhagavad Gita* further reinforced caste-based duties through the idea of *svadharma*, the belief that individuals must adhere to the roles assigned to them by birth.

Apart from religious justifications, caste was also deeply embedded in the social and economic fabric of Indian society. The system ensured that power and wealth remained concentrated among the upper castes, particularly the Brahmins and Kshatriyas, while lower castes were relegated to menial labor. Over time, the varna system expanded into thousands of jatis, or sub-castes, further complicating social stratification. These jatis were ranked hierarchically and governed by strict social norms that regulated daily life, including dietary habits, clothing, and even physical proximity. The practice of untouchability—where Dalits were considered impure and physically segregated—became a defining feature of caste-based oppression.

While various reform movements emerged over the centuries to challenge caste discrimination, including Buddhism, Bhakti movements, and social reformers like Basava and Kabir, the caste system remained deeply entrenched. Colonial rule in India introduced new legal and administrative frameworks, but British policies often reinforced caste divisions by institutionalizing them in census records and legal codes. The nationalist movement saw conflicting approaches to caste; while leaders like Mahatma Gandhi advocated for reform within Hinduism, Ambedkar rejected the system outright, calling for its annihilation.

The caste system's historical evolution demonstrates how it has been maintained through religious, social, and economic structures. Understanding this context is crucial to evaluating Ambedkar's critique, as he argued that caste was not just a social evil but an intrinsic feature of Hinduism itself. His challenge to the system was not merely about reform but about dismantling its very foundations.

Ambedkar's Critique of Hinduism

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's critique of Hinduism was rooted in his deep opposition to the caste system and its religious justifications. He argued that Hinduism, through its scriptures and social practices, institutionalized inequality and denied basic human rights to lower castes, particularly Dalits. Unlike reformers who sought to modify the system from within, Ambedkar outright rejected Hinduism as a religion that perpetuated social injustice. His critique targeted key Hindu scriptures, the dominance of the Brahmin priestly class, and the hierarchical social order that subordinated millions of people based on birth.

Ambedkar's criticism of Hinduism was particularly focused on its sacred texts, which he saw as the foundation of caste-based oppression. He argued that scriptures like the *Vedas*, *Upanishads*, and *Manusmriti* were responsible for legitimizing caste discrimination. The *Manusmriti*, an ancient Hindu legal text, was one of his primary targets because it explicitly defined and reinforced the caste hierarchy. It prescribed separate duties and privileges for each caste, placing Brahmins at the top and Shudras and Dalits at the bottom, denying them access to education, religious rituals, and even basic rights. Ambedkar publicly burned copies of the *Manusmriti* in 1927 as a symbolic act of defiance, declaring it a text that enslaved lower castes. He also criticized the *Vedas* and

www.historymarg.com

Puranas for promoting the idea that caste distinctions were divinely ordained, thus preventing any challenge to the status quo. He rejected the doctrine of karma and rebirth, which justified caste-based suffering as a consequence of past actions, arguing that it served as a tool to suppress lower castes and discourage resistance.

Ambedkar's critique extended to Brahmanism, which he saw as the ideological backbone of caste dominance. He argued that the Brahmin priestly class had used religious authority to monopolize knowledge, maintain control over rituals, and enforce caste distinctions. He believed that Hinduism's complex system of rituals and religious practices was designed to keep Brahmins in power while keeping lower castes in perpetual subjugation. Unlike other religions where priests acted as spiritual guides, Ambedkar viewed Brahmins as custodians of an exploitative system that denied education and upward mobility to lower castes. He also accused them of distorting religious teachings to serve their interests, ensuring that caste oppression remained intact for generations. This dominance, according to him, was not just social but also political, as Brahmins played a key role in shaping policies that upheld caste discrimination.

Ambedkar's rejection of Hinduism was not just a rejection of caste but of the entire social order it upheld. He argued that Hindu society was built on the idea of graded inequality, where every caste sought to dominate the one below it. This, he believed, made collective resistance against oppression difficult, as even lower castes accepted the hierarchy in hopes of maintaining superiority over those beneath them. Unlike Mahatma Gandhi, who sought to reform Hinduism and end untouchability within its framework, Ambedkar believed that true equality could only be achieved by completely discarding Hinduism. This led him to advocate for conversion as a means of liberation, culminating in his own conversion to Buddhism in 1956. His critique of Hinduism remains one of the most radical and influential arguments against caste oppression in Indian history.

Ambedkar's Analysis of the Caste System

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's analysis of the caste system was a scathing critique of its structural inequalities and oppressive nature. He argued that caste was not merely a division of labor but a rigid, hierarchical system that enforced social subjugation based on birth. Unlike other forms of social stratification, caste in Hindu society was unique because it was enforced through religious and cultural mechanisms, making it nearly impossible for individuals to move beyond their assigned status. Ambedkar's examination of caste focused on its systemic oppression, the role of endogamy in maintaining caste boundaries, and how it compared to other social stratification systems across the world.

Ambedkar saw the caste system as a deeply embedded structure of inequality that governed all aspects of life, from occupation and education to marriage and social interactions. He argued that caste was not just a social custom but an institution that deliberately excluded lower castes from economic and political power. Dalits, formerly known as "Untouchables," were denied access to temples, schools, public wells, and other essential resources, reinforcing their marginalization. The caste system, according to Ambedkar, was a form of graded inequality, where every caste was placed in a hierarchical order, with Brahmins at the top and Dalits at the bottom. This structure ensured that even oppressed castes sought to dominate those below them, preventing unity against the system. He emphasized that caste was more than just discrimination—it was a deeply entrenched social order that shaped people's lives from birth to death.

One of the key mechanisms Ambedkar identified in the perpetuation of caste divisions was endogamy, the practice of marrying within one's caste. He argued that endogamy was the defining feature of caste because it ensured that caste distinctions remained intact over generations. Unlike economic or class-based divisions, where mobility is possible through education or wealth, caste was maintained strictly through marriage restrictions. Ambedkar explained that in early Hindu society, the varna system was more flexible, but with the enforcement of endogamy, caste divisions became hereditary and rigid. He saw this as the fundamental reason why the caste system continued to exist despite centuries of social change. In his essay *Annihilation of Caste*, he argued that breaking the cycle of endogamy was the only way to dismantle caste, but Hindu society was unwilling to accept inter-caste marriages on a large scale, making true social reform impossible within the existing framework.

Ambedkar also compared the caste system to other forms of social stratification, such as race and class. He acknowledged that societies across the world had experienced discrimination based on race, ethnicity, and economic status, but he argued that caste was uniquely oppressive because it was sanctioned by religion and enforced through strict social norms. Unlike race-based discrimination, which could be challenged through legal and civil rights movements, caste was ingrained in the Hindu worldview, making it more difficult to eradicate. He noted that while Western societies allowed for social mobility through education and economic progress, the caste system did not permit such movement. A Dalit, no matter how educated or wealthy, remained a Dalit in the eyes of Hindu society.

Through his analysis, Ambedkar demonstrated that the caste system was not just a historical relic but an ongoing system of oppression that needed to be completely dismantled. He rejected the idea that caste could be reformed from within Hinduism and instead called for its total annihilation. His critique remains one of the most powerful challenges to caste-based discrimination in modern India.

www.historymarg.com

Ambedkar vs. Gandhi on Caste and Untouchability

The debate between Dr. B.R. Ambedkar and Mahatma Gandhi on caste and untouchability was one of the most significant ideological conflicts in modern Indian history. While both leaders sought to address the injustices faced by Dalits, their approaches and philosophies were fundamentally different. Ambedkar, as a Dalit leader, rejected Hinduism and the caste system outright, advocating for structural changes and legal protections. Gandhi, on the other hand, approached the issue from within the Hindu tradition, seeking reform rather than abolition. This difference in approach became most evident in their dispute over the Poona Pact of 1932, which highlighted their contrasting visions for the future of Dalits in India.

Ambedkar and Gandhi's views on caste were shaped by their distinct social backgrounds and ideological commitments. Gandhi, born into a privileged caste, saw untouchability as a moral and social evil but did not reject the caste system as a whole. He believed in the *varna* system, arguing that it was a natural division of labor and that its distortions, rather than its essence, were problematic. Gandhi promoted the idea of *Harijan* (Children of God) as a way to uplift Dalits within Hindu society, urging upper castes to embrace them with compassion. However, he never called for the complete eradication of caste distinctions.

In contrast, Ambedkar, who had experienced caste discrimination firsthand, viewed the caste system as fundamentally oppressive and inseparable from Hinduism. He believed that mere reform within Hinduism was inadequate, as caste was deeply embedded in its religious and social framework. Unlike Gandhi, who relied on moral persuasion and voluntary change, Ambedkar emphasized legal and institutional measures to dismantle caste-based discrimination. He sought constitutional safeguards, reservations in education and employment, and the political empowerment of Dalits. His most radical move was his eventual decision to leave Hinduism altogether, leading a mass conversion to Buddhism in 1956.

Ambedkar strongly opposed reformist strategies that sought to maintain the caste system in any form. He was critical of Gandhi's appeal to upper-caste Hindus to treat Dalits with kindness, arguing that it failed to address the root cause of oppression. Ambedkar maintained that the caste system was not just a social ill but an institutionalized system of graded inequality that could only be destroyed through radical means. He argued that self-respect, legal rights, and political representation were more important than seeking approval or acceptance from upper castes.

The conflict between Ambedkar and Gandhi came to a head during the Poona Pact of 1932. The British government had proposed separate electorates for Dalits, which Ambedkar supported as a means of ensuring political representation for his community. Gandhi, however, vehemently opposed separate electorates, fearing they would divide Hindu society. He launched a fast unto death in protest, pressuring Ambedkar to negotiate. Under immense pressure, Ambedkar agreed to the Poona Pact, which replaced separate electorates with reserved seats for Dalits within the general electorate. While the pact increased Dalit representation, Ambedkar later regretted the compromise, believing that it weakened the Dalit movement and left them politically dependent on upper-caste Hindus.

This ideological clash between Ambedkar and Gandhi continues to shape debates on caste and social justice in India. While Gandhi remains a revered figure for his role in India's independence, Ambedkar's vision of caste annihilation and Dalit empowerment has gained increasing recognition as India continues to struggle with caste-based discrimination.

Conversion to Buddhism: An Alternative to Hinduism

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's conversion to Buddhism in 1956 marked a turning point in his life and political ideology. His decision to abandon Hinduism was driven by his deep conviction that Hinduism, in its orthodox form, could never free Dalits from the shackles of caste-based oppression. Throughout his life, Ambedkar had been a vocal critic of Hinduism, which he believed perpetuated social hierarchies and discrimination, particularly through the caste system. His rejection of the religion was not just a personal spiritual choice but a political act of resistance. For Ambedkar, Hinduism was intrinsically linked to caste-based inequality, and he felt that the religion could not be reformed to eradicate its systemic oppression. His conversion to Buddhism, therefore, was a statement of both spiritual and social liberation for Dalits.

Ambedkar's conversion was influenced by several factors, most notably his rejection of the caste system entrenched in Hindu practices. Despite his intellectual achievements, Ambedkar faced the harsh realities of untouchability throughout his life. His experiences of discrimination in Hindu temples, schools, and social institutions reinforced his belief that Hinduism, with its scriptures and social doctrines, could not offer true equality. In Buddhism, Ambedkar found an alternative that aligned with his values of justice, equality, and non-violence. The teachings of the Buddha, especially his emphasis on personal enlightenment, moral equality, and the rejection of caste, resonated deeply with Ambedkar. Furthermore, Buddhism provided a historical and philosophical framework that challenged the oppressive elements of Hinduism, offering a path for Dalits to reclaim their dignity without the burden of caste.

Buddhism's role in countering caste oppression was central to Ambedkar's decision to convert. Unlike Hinduism, which reinforced the caste system through religious doctrines such as the concept of *karma* and *varna*,

www.historymarg.com

Buddhism rejected caste as a social or religious construct. The Buddha's teachings emphasized equality among all individuals, irrespective of their birth or social status. In his version of Buddhism, Ambedkar found a religion that did not define individuals by their caste but rather by their ability to attain spiritual liberation through right action, wisdom, and ethical conduct. For Ambedkar, Buddhism offered not just spiritual salvation but a political and social framework that rejected the hierarchical divisions of Hindu society. He believed that Buddhism, by promoting the ideals of compassion, social justice, and equality, was a vehicle through which Dalits could escape the centuries-old oppression of the caste system.

The influence of Ambedkarite Buddhism in modern India has been profound, particularly in the Dalit community. After Ambedkar's conversion, millions of Dalits followed his example, adopting Buddhism as a way to reclaim their identity and assert their social and political rights. Ambedkarite Buddhism emphasized the values of social justice, equality, and human dignity, challenging the existing social order that had long oppressed Dalits. It inspired numerous Dalit movements that sought to secure legal, economic, and political rights for marginalized communities. Ambedkarite Buddhism also influenced India's political landscape, particularly in the realm of Dalit activism and the fight for affirmative action. The legacy of Ambedkar's conversion continues to be a source of empowerment for Dalits, providing them with a spiritual and philosophical foundation that rejects caste oppression and embraces the principles of equality and social justice. Ambedkar's conversion to Buddhism, therefore, was not only a personal act but a transformative movement that reshaped the trajectory of Dalit identity and resistance in modern India.

Impact of Ambedkar's Critique on Indian Society

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's critique of Hinduism and the caste system had a profound impact on Indian society, shaping legal and constitutional frameworks, inspiring Dalit movements, and influencing contemporary social justice initiatives. His relentless advocacy for caste abolition and social equality laid the foundation for many reforms aimed at uplifting marginalized communities, particularly Dalits. Through his role as the chief architect of the Indian Constitution, Ambedkar institutionalized legal protections against caste discrimination and ensured that Dalits and other oppressed groups had access to social and economic opportunities. His ideas remain relevant today, as caste-based discrimination and social inequalities continue to be pressing issues in India.

One of Ambedkar's most significant contributions was his role in drafting legal and constitutional measures to counter caste oppression. The Indian Constitution, adopted in 1950, incorporated several provisions to eliminate caste-based discrimination and promote social justice. Article 17 of the Constitution abolished untouchability in all forms, making it illegal to discriminate against Dalits in public spaces, education, and employment. Additionally, Ambedkar was instrumental in securing reservations for Scheduled Castes (SCs) and Scheduled Tribes (STs) in education, government jobs, and legislatures. The reservation system was designed to address historical injustices and provide opportunities for Dalits to advance in society. Over the years, these legal measures have played a crucial role in increasing Dalit representation in politics, education, and public services, though challenges remain in their effective implementation.

Ambedkar's critique also had a significant impact on Dalit movements and social justice initiatives. His emphasis on self-respect, education, and political empowerment inspired several Dalit-led movements aimed at challenging caste-based oppression. The Dalit Panthers, founded in the 1970s, drew inspiration from Ambedkar's writings and activism, advocating for equal rights, dignity, and protection against caste-based violence. Numerous Dalit organizations have since emerged, demanding land rights, labor rights, and access to education. His call for conversion as a means of escaping caste oppression led to mass Buddhist conversions, giving rise to Ambedkarite Buddhism as a socio-political movement. Ambedkar's influence is also evident in the rise of Dalit political parties, such as the Bahujan Samaj Party (BSP), which has played a critical role in representing the interests of marginalized communities in India's democratic system.

Even today, Ambedkar's ideas remain highly relevant as caste-based discrimination persists in various forms. Despite constitutional safeguards, Dalits continue to face social and economic exclusion, violence, and discrimination in many parts of India. Movements such as #DalitLivesMatter and increasing activism against caste-based atrocities highlight the ongoing struggle for equality and justice. Ambedkar's advocacy for education and economic independence as tools for empowerment continues to influence contemporary policies and affirmative action measures. His ideas have also gained international recognition, with scholars and activists drawing parallels between caste discrimination and other forms of systemic oppression, such as racism.

Ambedkar's critique of Hinduism and the caste system was not just an academic or political exercise; it was a call for a radical transformation of Indian society. His contributions have left a lasting legacy, shaping India's legal framework, empowering marginalized communities, and inspiring generations of activists working towards an inclusive and just society.

www.historymarg.com

II. Conclusion

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's critique of Hinduism and the caste system was a revolutionary intellectual and political movement aimed at dismantling centuries of social inequality. His arguments were rooted in a deep analysis of Hindu scriptures, social hierarchies, and the structural mechanisms that sustained caste oppression. Unlike reformists who sought to modify the caste system from within, Ambedkar rejected it entirely, arguing that Hinduism's foundational texts and traditions were responsible for institutionalizing discrimination. His critiques were not just theoretical but were backed by concrete legal and political efforts, including his role in shaping the Indian Constitution, advocating for Dalit rights, and promoting Buddhism as a means of social liberation. Through his writings, speeches, and activism, Ambedkar left an indelible mark on Indian society, challenging deep-seated norms and paving the way for social justice movements.

The legacy of Ambedkar's critique is far-reaching, particularly in the areas of law, politics, and social activism. His influence is most visible in the legal framework of India, where constitutional provisions such as Article 17 abolished untouchability, and the reservation system provided historically oppressed communities with access to education and employment opportunities. His ideas inspired the rise of Dalit movements, which continue to fight for land rights, dignity, and protection against caste-based violence. The political representation of Dalits, which was once non-existent, has been significantly strengthened due to Ambedkar's efforts, with Dalit leaders and political parties playing a crucial role in Indian democracy. His advocacy for education as a tool for social mobility has also had a lasting impact, leading to increased literacy rates and socio-economic progress among Dalit communities. Furthermore, his decision to convert to Buddhism has given rise to Ambedkarite Buddhism, a movement that continues to provide spiritual and social empowerment to millions of Dalits.

Looking forward, Ambedkar's ideas remain essential for the complete abolition of caste and the realization of true social equality in India. While legal measures have provided some degree of protection, caste-based discrimination persists in various forms, including social exclusion, violence, and economic disparities. Future efforts must focus on enforcing existing laws, strengthening affirmative action policies, and addressing caste-based biases in everyday life. Education remains a crucial tool in this process, as Ambedkar emphasized that knowledge and awareness are the primary means of breaking free from caste oppression. Additionally, movements for social justice must continue to challenge deep-rooted prejudices and demand greater representation and dignity for marginalized communities.

Ambedkar's critique was not just about exposing the injustices of the caste system but about envisioning a society based on liberty, equality, and fraternity. His work laid the foundation for future struggles against discrimination, inspiring activists in India and beyond. As caste-based hierarchies evolve in new forms, Ambedkar's teachings remain a guiding force in the pursuit of a just and equitable society. His call for social transformation is as relevant today as it was in his lifetime, reminding us that the fight against caste oppression is far from over and that achieving true equality requires continuous effort and commitment.

References

[1]. Ambedkar, B. R. Annihilation of Caste. Navayana Publishing, 2014.
[2]. ---. The Buddha and His Dhamma. Siddharth College Publications, 1957.
[3]. ---. Thoughts on Linguistic States. Government of Maharashtra, 1955.
[4]. ---. What Congress and Gandhi Have Done to the Untouchables. Thacker & Co., 1945.
[5]. Ambedkar, B. R., and Valerian Rodrigues. The Essential Writings of B.R. Ambedkar. Oxford UP, 2002.
[6]. Bayly, Susan. Caste, Society and Politics in India from the Eighteenth Century to the Modern Age. Cambridge UP, 1999.
[7]. Chakrabarty, Dipesh. Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference. Princeton UP, 2000.
[8]. Chandra, Bipan. India's Struggle for Independence: 1857-1947. Penguin Books, 1988.
[9]. Das, Bhagwan. Thus Spoke Ambedkar: Selected Speeches. Navayana, 2013.
[10]. Dirks, Nicholas B. Castes of Mind: Colonialism and the Making of Modern India. Princeton UP, 2001.
[11]. Dumont, Louis. Homo Hierarchicus: The Caste System and Its Implications. University of Chicago Press, 1980.
[12]. Gandhi, M. K. The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi. Publications Division, Government of India, 1958-1994.
[13]. Geetha, V., and S. V. Rajadurai. Towards a Non-Brahmin Millennium: From Iyothee Thass to Periyar. Samya, 1999.
[14]. Ghurye, G. S. Caste and Race in India. Popular Prakashan, 1969.
[15]. Gupta, Dipankar. Interrogating Caste: Understanding Hierarchy and Difference in Indian Society. Penguin, 2000.
[16]. Jaffrelot, Christophe. Dr. Ambedkar and Untouchability: Analysing and Fighting Caste. Columbia UP, 2005.
[17]. ---. India's Silent Revolution: The Rise of the Lower Castes in North India. Columbia UP, 2003.
[18]. Joshi, Barbara R. Untouchable!: Voices of the Dalit Liberation Movement. Zed Books, 1986.
[19]. Kumar, Ravinder. The Making of a Nation: Essays in Indian History and Politics. Manohar Publishers, 1999.
[20]. Mendelsohn, Oliver, and Marika Vicziany. The Untouchables: Subordination, Poverty and the State in Modern India. Cambridge UP, 1998.
[21]. Michael, S. M. Dalits in Modern India: Vision and Values. Sage, 2007.
[22]. Nagaraj, D. R. The Flaming Feet and Other Essays: The Dalit Movement in India. Permanent Black, 2010.
[23]. Omvedt, Gail. Buddhism in India: Challenging Brahmanism and Caste. Sage, 2003.
[24]. ---. Dalits and the Democratic Revolution: Dr. Ambedkar and the Dalit Movement in Colonial India. Sage, 1994.
[25]. Pawar, Urmila, and Meenakshi Moon. We Also Made History: Women in the Ambedkarite Movement. Zubaan, 2008.
[26]. Prashad, Vijay. The Untouchable Freedom: A Social History of a Dalit Community. Oxford UP, 2000.
[27]. Rawat, Ramnarayan S. Reconsidering Untouchability: Chamars and Dalit History in North India. Indiana UP, 2011.
[28]. Rao, Anupama. The Caste Question: Dalits and the Politics of Modern India. University of California Press, 2009.

www.historymarg.com

[29]. Sharma, Arvind. Dr. Ambedkar and Untouchability: Analysing and Fighting Caste. HarperCollins, 2018.
[30]. Shourie, Arun. Worshipping False Gods: Ambedkar, and the Facts Which Have Been Erased. HarperCollins, 1997.
[31]. Srinivas, M. N. Social Change in Modern India. Orient BlackSwan, 1995.
[32]. Teltumbde, Anand. The Persistence of Caste: The Khairlanji Murders and India's Hidden Apartheid. Navayana, 2010.
[33]. Thorat, Sukhadeo, and Narendra Kumar. B. R. Ambedkar: Perspectives on Social Exclusion and Inclusive Policies. Oxford UP, 2008.
[34]. Velaskar, Padma. Caste, Gender and Education in India: Breaking the Normative Shackles. Orient BlackSwan, 2020.
[35]. Viswanathan, S. Dalits in Dravidian Land: Frontline Reports on Anti-Caste Struggles in Tamil Nadu. Navayana, 2014.
[36]. Zelliot, Eleanor. Ambedkar's World: The Making of Babasaheb and the Dalit Movement. Navayana, 2013.
[37]. From Untouchable to Dalit: Essays on the Ambedkar Movement. Manohar, 1992.
[38]. Zelliot, Eleanor, and Rohini Mokashi-Punekar. Untouchable Saints: An Indian Phenomenon. Manohar, 2005.

www.historymarg.com